FREEDOM

Medical Freedom: What Rights Are You Willing To Lose?

By Louise Kuo

Versions of this article were widely shared as "Author Unknown" on social media, including a Facebook post on Dr. Sherri Tenpenny (which has no longer be available). It is also published on the New Jersey Coalition for Vaccination Choice website.

Whether you want all, some, or no vaccines, you must ask if you believe in medical freedom.

Do you want to live in a world where you cannot freely refuse a medical procedure that carries risk of injury or death?

I'm not questioning your comfort level with today's vaccine schedule, because today's vaccine schedule will change. New vaccines and additional doses are added all the time.

- Children today receive as many as 70 doses of 16 vaccines by age 18, which is over 35 times higher than the number of doses administered to children in 1960 and 3 times the average given in 1983.
- Vaccines are a $32 billion global market with projections to surpass $100 billion by 2025. Who will be getting all these vaccines?
- There are nearly 300 new vaccines in the developmental pipeline for children and adults – and no end in sight.

Are you certain you will be 100% comfortable with the vaccines that will be mandated to 5-, 10-, 25 years?

No critical thinker, no honest person would ever sign off on the eight-unseen vaccine schedule of the future. And yet that's what you're doing when you support the people who are fighting for your right to refuse. Today, you have the right to refuse, should you ever choose to exert it, because the very "anti-vaccine" people you demonize have been fighting for us all.

Right now, the burden of "herd immunity" – a false epidemiological construct designed to justify vaccine mandates — falls on small children, but that is changing. Vaccine manufacturers are developed market in adults and are pushing for universal vaccination.

What will you do if your state, your employer, or your insurance company forces you to get a vaccine that you simply don't want? Perhaps it hasn't happened to you yet, but if the right to refuse is eliminated, it will happen sooner than you might think. Who then will you turn to?

- Our "representatives" who are besieged by lobbyists and get campaign donations from pharmaceutical companies?
- The CDC that is working day and night with Pharma?
- The CDC that is now being brought up on conspiracy charges and losing their licenses to practice?
- Mainstream media, which panders to industry and insists on politicizing a non-partisan issue? (U.S. is ranked #46 in the World Press Freedom Index 2014 behind Romania, Papua New Guinea, Slovakia, South Africa, Botswana, El Salvador, Latvia, Trinidad & Tobago, and Suriname among others. Hard is it #47.)

Who will you turn to if you ever want to say no?

There will be one.

I have noticed that some political and medical leaders are calling for a federal vaccine mandate and enforcement of all recommended shots (Hanna said: "There is every reason to get vaccinated — there aren't reasons to not." Did you see what happened when New Jersey Governor Chris Christie said that parents should have some choice when it comes to vaccination? (He was slammed but *The Washington Post* allowed me to give him some well-deserved air cover.)

Once we start down that slippery slope by removing an individual's right to refuse medical procedures that carry the risk of injury or death, we open ourselves up to abuses of power by corrupt people and procedures can be mandated.

I heard Jon NPR, interestingly enough) that there are people who want to test for a gene marker that's been found in mass murderers in the hopes that they can put the carriers of that gene on medications in early childhood. If you don't have genetic great, consider this. Many of us carry genes that will never be expressed. You or your loved one could be a carrier of that gene. If we follow the "for the greater good" mentality that's currently in vogue – analogous to the Nazi's "for the greater good" mentality behind eugenics to breed out threats – we could be looking at forcing people to take a drug to prevent a "sociopathic gene" to take antipsychotics, just in case. Because that's when forced vaccination does. It removes individual choice in favor of "protecting society." I don't want to accept a vaccine and its risks just in case. And that's what eugenics was about. It sterilized people who could've passed on a genetic disease, just in case. (And you know that the modern eugenics movement was led by the U.S.)

The US government promotes, recommends, and mandates vaccination while compensating families for death and injury to the tune of $4 billion to date, and while acknowledging through its own Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System that there have been hundreds of thousands of people who sustained catastrophic brain damage or other injuries, who have died as a result of vaccines.

Forced vaccination is a human rights violation. Any government that enforces it is at risk of losing moral authority over its people.

Here is today's reality: NIH Organized medicine complex (Pharma philanthropy, and Big Pharma have marketing budgets larger than the GDP of some countries. The CDC has simply attached funding to state mandates for universal vaccination. Industry and government are partnering to track and monitor us with electronic health records and immunization information systems. Media are calling for the unvaccinated to be penalized or jailed. Congress passed the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act granting near blanket liability protection to vaccine manufacturers for a product that is known to cause death and injury, acknowledged to be "unavoidably unsafe."

As it stands you can't sue a vaccine manufacturer if your child is injured or killed by a government recommended vaccine, even in cases in which the company chose not to perform adequate safety (i.e., acellular versus whole cell pertussis) or when it failed to recall a contaminated or "hot" lot in a timely manner or if the product is determined to have a design defect.

Think about that. You can't sue the manufacturer. Our government removed the only consumer protection with teeth. That immunity from liability does more to shake parents' confidence in vaccines than anything else out there.

Louise is a leader of the New Jersey Coalition for Vaccination Choice. In 2008, she met 700 citizens rallied for the Freedom to choose in Trenton. The event made The Drudge Report and appeared in major and local papers across the country, including the Associated Press, ABC World News Tonight with Charlie Gibson, and Fox & Friends. She is a co-editor of the bestselling book Vaccine Epidemic.

February 10, 2015 2:35 pm

post a comment

20 Comments

Brian Klein on February 11, 2015 at 11:22 am

Thank you for this well reasoned article. One of the biggest problems I have with the current vaccine controversy is there is so much hatred and vitriol surrounding it coming from both sides of the issue. Unfortunately, the people who are the most vocal on either side are firmly in anti-vaccination (or seem to be) so you present your arguments in a respectful manner. I appreciate that, and we need everyone to do that so parents can learn to do what's right for their situation, not make decisions based out of fear. Just the other day a family member lambasted me for my position of personal choice. She was shaking with emotion, what is very calm. I wanted to learn from her, but she did not want to learn from me. Hopefully we can get people to put aside our anger, and ended up alienating family members.

Reply

Jaclyn on February 16, 2015 at 2:29 am

You have some nice words Brian. I have been taking the the moron in the family (not pertaining to you) as the open minded, FYA who secretly tells you…to believe once. I'm aware of the human vaccines can be possibly do a person, and just having…it, but nevertheless…OMG all I wish to do is protect him. But again nobody will listen. So aggravating. My sister need some of that magnesium for her son- but I don't know what's going to happen next. I pray for the health and wellness of baby. If only I could make the choices. :) thanks for for not letting me feel alone…xoxox

Reply

D Pryor on November 24, 2020 at 12:53 pm

Did you recommend against circumcising the new nephew since that's an unnecessary medical procedure with certain injury and a risk of death?

Codi on February 18, 2015 at 1:32 pm

Yep, so many people choose their positions based on fear. And the media is fueling it. There were 3000+ deaths from the flu in 2013 in the US. Currently there are 700 cases of measles in 2014-2015 and zero death as of now. Knock on wood. But there are thousands of cases out there, how many shots can we give our children? And with vaccines, it is our immune system that will be fighting the battle. Why not focus on having a healthier lifestyle and diet, food, & nutrition, which can better support the immune system?

Reply

Lisa on February 17, 2015 at 1:18 pm

final death data from the CDC is not available yet. on average since 1969, 1908 people a year have died from the flu. But that doesn't sell vaccines does it.

Reply

LaRonda on April 29, 2016 at 10:40 am

I am sorry to hear about the difficult conversation you attempted to have with a family member. I have found it very difficult subject to discuss – though, as you stated, doesn't have to be. I have had the privilege of knowing Louise for many, many years. I have learned a lot from her. One thing I do know is that Louise is not "anti-vaccine". Louise is fighting for CHOICE! Our bodies, our choice. Our children's bodies, our choice. We are fighting for Religious Freedom granted to us by the US Constitution as well as state constitution. This is a slippery slope we are embarking upon. A very scary time when government is overstepping. We must all come together to fight for our rights.

Reply

S. Irby on February 13, 2015 at 11:03 am

Fear is a poor advisor. However, when anyone on either side of a dispute feels powerless, fear becomes a powerful force. I am not afraid of vaccines. I am afraid of the harm that can be done when we are engulfed in fear, not can be manipulated by those who use that weakness, such as the media, who SHOULD be presenting facts dispassionately, supported by studies not repeated untrue statements designed to brainwash the advertising jingles. Obviously facts need to be proposed about the vaccinated getting sick what they were vaccinated against & spreading the disease, illness caused by vaccination, etc. to balance considerations. Thanks for this article!

Reply

Michelle on February 14, 2015 at 3:32 pm

Medical Choice: First they came… (youtube clip)

Reply

Jen on February 14, 2015 at 4:56 pm

So very true.

Reply

D. Balamos on February 16, 2015 at 5:14 pm

Thank you! For the info and opening our eyes and attitudes.

Reply

Tina Coleman on February 19, 2015 at 7:43 pm

If vaccines are so safe, why do anyone receiving one have to sign a waiver saying they cannot sue for any side effects from the Vaccines? No forced vaccines for me!!!

Reply

Melissa on February 19, 2015 at 9:39 am

No should be able to force a medical procedure on anyone. Just keep your unvaccinated kids away from mine.

Reply

Joanna on February 23, 2015 at 5:15 pm

Melissa, why should I keep my unvaccinated kids away from yours? You presumably vaccinated them so they'd be immune to disease which means even if my kids were sick, which they never are, your 'immunized' kids would be exactly that, immunized. If you think they can catch something from my kids, you don't have much faith in vaccination.

Reply

InformedMommaBD3 on March 20, 2015 at 4:47 am

Joanna you are correct. If you have such little faith in the "vaccines" then perhaps Melissa should question why she vaccinates in the first place! How far should this ridiculous idea go? Should we put people with cancer in jail because their immunity is compromised? Should we quarantine people with the common cold because their immunization is not, they are told, stronger than "canine parvovirus" are dangerous to society. What about pregnant women? Another population who is more "susceptible" to illness. So by your Orwellian program women should stay away from other children, too. Melissa: I say this not to attack or to be critical rather to invoke some independent thinking into question with the very people who are supposed to educate a boatload of money from you choosing to vaccinate your child. They have been brainwashing you in this way. In absence of any real science to back them up. Then if your child is injured they are absolved of anything? That ALONE Should be thought provoking. Consider this: If I was trying to sell you a product and I promised you that this product did everything and was 100% safe and I had lifetime immunity from the government stating that if someone is injured from my product no one can sue me. WOULD YOU TRUST MY PRODUCT? If you answered yes then you're a very naive person.

Also, what doctors fail to disclose about vaccines is when you look at the history of vaccines, they only became a very complicated issue when there's poor nutrition. For example, the measles vaccine is only "serious" and "complicated" when there is a vitamin a deficiency. This is well-established research. There is a lot of information that has been suppressed about vaccine history. For example there is well documented history that proves the vaccines did not save humanity. I will not continue to ramble on, as this is getting outside the scope of this well written article. I have been in the parent room in the pediatric office (credible source people tend to dismiss them because they does not fit their predetermined fallacy, which they are unwilling to question.

Respectfully,
InformedMomma

Reply

InformedMommaBD3 on March 20, 2015 at 4:28 am

Very good article. No matter where you stand on the vaccine issue no person who actually uses his brain can argue with this well informed article. Thank you

Reply

InformedMommaBD3 on March 20, 2015 at 4:20 am

It seems the general culture in America today is "It's okay for someone else to force something on another person" however that person advocating for such "force" wouldn't likely want to be subjected to the same treatment.

Reply

Rafal Wisniewski on April 9, 2015 at 2:07 am

Polish translation here at Pravda O Szczepionkach:
http://prawdaoszczepionkach.pl/wolnosc-w-dziedzinie-decyzji-medycznych-wzor-prawa-chcialbys-umrzec_43.003.htm

Reply

Mary Saunders on March 8, 2016 at 6:56 pm

Consent and all the data, the raw data, no outliers removed.

Reply

L on June 5, 2015 at 2:36 pm

Hello I am looking to become part of a non profit organization that can stand together to defend medical freedom and the right to choose whether to be vaccinated or not could you please advise where I might find one…

Reply

Louise Kuo on June 5, 2015 at 2:55 pm

Hello, L. I know that Stand for Health Freedom would love to hear from you. Ask for Leah at advocates@standforhealthfreedom.com! xo

Reply

Trackbacks/Pingbacks

1. Response to "Exactly how many incidences of adverse reactions to vaccinations are there?" | vaccinelawyer, […] http://fearlessparent.org/medical-freedom-what-rights-are-you-willing-to-lose/ […]
2. Medical Freedom: What Rights Are You Willing to Lose? | Sarasota for Vaccination Choice, […] Original here: http://fearlessparent.org/medical-freedom-what-rights-are-you-willing-to-lose/ […]
3. Medical Freedom: What Rights Are You Willing To Lose? – Fearless Parent | Decoded Science, […] Medical Freedom: What Rights Are You Willing To Lose? – Fearless Parent […]
4. Medical Freedom: What Rights Are You Willing To Lose? - Pennie Aggressive Raven, […] http://fearlessparent.org/medical-freedom-what-rights-are-you-willing-to-lose/ […]

Submit a comment

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked *

Comment *

Name *

Email *

Website

Submit Comment

© 2015 Fearless Parent